Τ 0

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DARYL J. KOLLMAN,

Civ. No. 04-3106-CO O R D E R

Plaintiff,

vs.

NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE CO. OF PITTSBURGH, P.A., a foreign corporation,

Defendant.

AIKEN, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Cooney filed his Findings and Recommendation on June 13, 2005. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); <u>McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines</u>, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), <u>cert. denied</u>, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Defendant has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given the file of this case a <u>de novo</u> review. I ADOPT the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 51) that

defendant's motion to dismiss or alternatively to stay (doc. 14) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this day of September 2005.

United States District Judge